arXiv:0910.4691v2 [math.AG] 20 Dec 2009

ON THE ALGEBRAIC FUNDAMENTAL GROUPS

FENG-WEN AN

Abstract. Passing from arithmetic schemes to algebraic schemes, in a similar manner we will have the computation of the étale fundamental group of an algebraic scheme and then will define and discuss the qc fundamental group of an algebraic scheme in this paper. The qc fundamental group will also give a prior estimate of the étale fundamental group.

Contents

Introduction
1. Preliminaries
2. The Étale Fundamental Group
3. The qc Fundamental Group
References

Introduction

As an arithmetic scheme behaves frequently like the ring of algebraic integers for a number field, it has been seen that there exist many tricks arising from algebraic number theory which still work for the case of an arithmetic scheme; hence, we have obtained some properties of the profinite fundamental groups of arithmetic schemes (for example, see [2, 3, 4, 5, 6]).

Passing from arithmetic schemes to algebraic schemes, in this paper we will have the computation of the étale fundamental group of an algebraic scheme; then we will define and discuss the qc fundamental group of an algebraic scheme which will also give a prior estimate of the étale fundamental group. These results are related to the Section Conjecture of Grothendieck (see [10]).

Convention. By an **algebraic variety** we will understand an integral scheme X over a field k of finite type in the paper. In such a case, X is also said to be an **algebraic** $k-$**variety**. Here, the field k can have an arbitrary characteristic.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14F35; Secondary 11G35.

Key words and phrases. arithmetic scheme, automorphism group, étale fundamental group, quasi-galois, unramified extension.

Acknowledgment. The author would like to express his sincere gratitude to Professor Li Banghe for his advice and instructions on algebraic geometry and topology.

1. Preliminaries

For convenience, let us fix notation and definitions in this subsection.

1.1. **Notation.** Fixed an integral domain D. In the paper, we let $Fr(D)$ denote the field of fractions on D.

If D be a subring of a field Ω, the field $Fr(D)$ will always assumed to be contained in Ω.

Let E be an extension of a field F (not necessarily algebraic). E is said to be **Galois** over F if F is the fixed subfield of the Galois group $Gal(E/F)$.

1.2. **Affine Covering with Values.** Fixed a scheme X. As usual, an affine covering of the scheme X is a family

$$\mathcal{C}_X = \{(U_\alpha, \phi_\alpha; A_\alpha)\}_{\alpha\in\Delta}$$

such that for each $\alpha \in \Delta$, ϕ_α is an isomorphism from an open set U_α of X onto the spectrum $SpecA_\alpha$ of a commutative ring A_α. Each $(U_\alpha, \phi_\alpha; A_\alpha) \in \mathcal{C}_X$ is called a **local chart**.

An affine covering $\mathcal{C}_X$ of X is said to be **reduced** if $U_\alpha \neq U_\beta$ holds for any $\alpha \neq \beta$ in Δ.

Let $\mathfrak{Comm}$ be the category of commutative rings with identity. Fixed a subcategory $\mathfrak{Comm}_0$ of $\mathfrak{Comm}$. An affine covering $\{(U_\alpha, \phi_\alpha; A_\alpha)\}_{\alpha\in\Delta}$ of X is said to be **with values** in $\mathfrak{Comm}_0$ if for each $\alpha \in \Delta$ there are $\mathcal{O}_X(U_\alpha) = A_\alpha$ and $U_\alpha = Spec(A_\alpha)$, where A_α is a ring contained in $\mathfrak{Comm}_0$.

In particular, let Ω be a field and let $\mathfrak{Comm}(\Omega)$ be the category consisting of the subrings of Ω and their isomorphisms. An affine covering $\mathcal{C}_X$ of X with values in $\mathfrak{Comm}(\Omega)$ is said to be **with values in the field** Ω.

Assume that $\mathcal{O}_X$ and $\mathcal{O}'_X$ are two structure sheaves on the underlying space of an integral scheme X. The two integral schemes $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ and $(X, \mathcal{O}'_X)$ are said to be **essentially equal** provided that for any open set U in X, we have

$$U \text{ is affine open in } (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \Longleftrightarrow \text{ so is } U \text{ in } (X, \mathcal{O}'_X)$$

and in such a case, $D_1 = D_2$ holds or there is $Fr(D_1) = Fr(D_2)$ such that for any nonzero $x \in Fr(D_1)$, either

$$x \in D_1 \bigcap D_2$$

or

$$x \in D_1 \setminus D_2 \Longleftrightarrow x^{-1} \in D_2 \setminus D_1$$

holds, where $D_1 = \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ and $D_2 = \mathcal{O}'_X(U)$.

Two schemes $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ and $(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ are said to be **essentially equal** if the underlying spaces of X and Z are equal and the schemes $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ and $(X, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ are essentially equal.

1.3. **Quasi-Galois Closed.** Fixed a field k. Let X and Y be algebraic $k-$varieties and let $f : X \to Y$ be a surjective morphism of finite type. Denote by $Aut\,(X/Y)$ the group of automorphisms of X over Z.

By a **conjugate** Z of X over Y, we understand an algebraic $k-$variety Z that is isomorphic to X over Y.

Definition 1.1. X is said to be **quasi-galois closed** over Y by f if there is an algebraically closed field Ω and a reduced affine covering $\mathcal{C}_X$ of X with values in Ω such that for any conjugate Z of X over Y the two conditions are satisfied:

- $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ and $(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ are essentially equal if Z has a reduced affine covering with values in Ω.
- $\mathcal{C}_Z \subseteq \mathcal{C}_X$ holds if $\mathcal{C}_Z$ is a reduced affine covering of Z with values in Ω.

Remark 1.2. We can prove the existence and the main property for an algebraic $k-$variety in an evident manner (See [2, 3, 4, 5, 6]).

2. The Étale Fundamental Group

2.1. **Definitions.** Fixed an algebraic variety X over a field k. Let L_1 and L_2 be two algebraic extensions over $k(X)$, respectively.

Definition 2.1. L_2 is said to be a **finite X-formally unramified Galois extension** over L_1 if there are two algebraic k-varieties X_1 and X_2 and a surjective morphism $f : X_2 \to X_1$ such that

- $Sp[X] = Sp[X_1] = Sp[X_2]$, i.e., X,X_1, and X_2 have a same sp-completion.
- $k\,(X_1) = L_1$, $k\,(X_2) = L_2$;
- X_2 is a finite étale Galois cover of X_1 by f.

In such a case, X_2/X_1 are said to be a **X-geometric model** of the field extension L_2/L_1.

For $L = k(X)$, set

- $L^{al} \triangleq$ an algebraical closure of L;
- $L^{sep} \triangleq$ the separable closure of L contained in L^{al};
- $L^{au} \triangleq$ the union of all the finite X-formally unramified subextensions over L contained in L^{al}.

Remark 2.2. Let L be a finitely generated extension over a number field K. Then L^{au} is a subfield of L^{al}. In particular, it is seen that L^{au} is a Galois extension over L.

Moreover, let $\omega \in L^{sep}$ be unramified over L. Then $f(\omega) \in L^{sep}$ is also unramified over L for any element f of the absolute Galois group $Gal(L^{al}/L)$.

Hence, by set inclusion, L^{au} is (equal to and then defined to be) the **maximal unramified subextensions** over L (contained in L^{al}).

2.2. **The Etale Fundamental Group.** By a trick similar to [4, 6], we have the following result.

Theorem 2.3. *Fixed any algebraic $k-$variety X. Then there exists an isomorphism*

$$\pi_1^{et}(X, s) \cong Gal\left(k(X)^{au}/k(X)\right)$$

between groups for any geometric point s of X over the separable closure of the function field $k(X)$.

3. The qc Fundamental Group

3.1. **Definitions.** Let X be an algebraic $k-$variety. Let Ω be a separably closed field containing the function field $k(X)$. Here, Ω is not necessarily algebraic over $k(X)$.

Define $X_{qc}[\Omega]$ to be the set of algebraic $k-$varieties Z satisfying the following conditions:

- Z has a reduced affine covering with values in Ω;
- there is a surjective morphism $f : Z \to X$ of finite type such that Z is quasi-galois closed over X.

Set a partial order $\leq$ in the set $X_{qc}[\Omega]$ in such a manner:
Take any $Z_1, Z_2 \in X_{qc}[\Omega]$, we say

$$Z_1 \leq Z_2$$

if there is a surjective morphism $\varphi : Z_2 \to Z_1$ of finite type such that Z_2 is quasi-galois closed over Z_1.

It is seen that $X_{qc}[\Omega]$ is a directed set and

$$\{Aut(Z/X) : Z \in X_{qc}[\Omega]\}$$

is an inverse system of groups. Hence, we have the following definition.

Definition 3.1. Let X be an algebraic $k-$variety. Take any separably closed field Ω containing $k(X)$. The inverse limit

$$\pi_1^{qc}(X;\Omega) \triangleq \lim_{\longleftarrow Z\in X_{qc}[\Omega]} Aut(Z/X)$$

of the inverse system $\{Aut(Z/X) : Z \in X_{qc}[\Omega]\}$ of groups is said to be the **qc fundamental group** of the scheme X with coefficient in Ω.

3.2. **The qc Fundamental Group.** By a trick similar to [5], we have the following results.

Theorem 3.2. *Let X be an algebraic $k-$variety. Take any separably closed field Ω containing $k(X)$. There are the following statements.*

(i) There is a group isomorphism

$$\pi_1^{qc}(X;\Omega) \cong Gal(\Omega/k(X)).$$

(ii) Take any geometric point s of X over Ω. Then there is a group isomorphism

$$\pi_1^{et}(X;s) \cong \pi_1^{qc}(X;\Omega)_{et}$$

where $\pi_1^{qc}(X;\Omega)_{et}$ is a subgroup of $\pi_1^{qc}(X;\Omega)$. Moreover, $\pi_1^{qc}(X;\Omega)_{et}$ is a normal subgroup of $\pi_1^{qc}(X;\Omega)$.

Remark 3.3. Let X be an algebraic $k-$variety. Put

$$\pi_1^{qc}(X) = \pi_1^{qc}(X;k(X)^{sep}).$$

Then there is a group isomorphism

$$\pi_1^{qc}(X) \cong Gal(k(X)^{sep}/k(X)).$$

Definition 3.4. Let X be an algebraic $k-$variety. The quotient group

$$\pi_1^{br}(X) = \pi_1^{qc}(X;k(X)^{sep})/\pi_1^{qc}(X;k(X)^{sep})_{et}$$

is said to be the **branched group** of the algebraic variety X.

The branched group $\pi_1^{br}(X)$ can reflect the topological properties of the scheme X, especially the properties of the associated complex space X^{an} of X, for example, the branched covers of X^{an}.

Remark 3.5. Let X be an algebraic $k-$variety. Then we have

$$\pi_1^{br}(X) = \{0\}$$

if and only if X has no finite branched cover.

References

[1] An, F-W. The affine structures on a ringed space and schemes. eprint arXiv:0706.0579.

[2] An, F-W. Automorphism groups of quasi-galois closed arithmetic schemes. eprint arXiv:0907.0842.

[3] An, F-W. On the existence of geometric models for function fields in several variables. eprint arXiv:0909.1993.

[4] An, F-W. on the étale fundamental groups of arithmetic schemes. eprint arXiv:0910.0157.

[5] An, F-W. On the arithmetic fundamental groups. eprint arXiv:0910.0605.

[6] An, F-W. on the étale fundamental groups of arithmetic schemes, revised. eprint arXiv:0910.4646.

[7] Freitag, E; Kiehl, R. Étale Cohomology and the Weil Conjecture. Springer, Berlin, 1988.

[8] Grothendieck, A; Dieudonné, J. Éléments de Géoemétrie Algébrique. vols I-IV, Pub. Math. de l'IHES, 1960-1967.

[9] Grothendieck, A; Raynaud, M. Revêtements *É*tales et Groupe Fondamental (SGA1). Springer, New York, 1971.

[10] Grothendieck, A. Letter to Faltings, in *Geometric Galois Actions*, Vol 1, edited by Schneps, L ; Lochak, P. Cambridge University Press, New York, 1997.

[11] Hartshorne, R. Algebraic Geometry. Springer, New York, 1977.

[12] Kerz, M; Schmidt, A. Covering data and higher dimensional global class field theory. eprint arXiv:0804.3419.

[13] Milne, J. Étale Cohomology. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1980.

[14] Raskind, W. Abelian calss field theory of arithmetic schemes. K-theory and Algebraic Geometry, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, Vol 58, Part 1 (1995), 85-187.

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Wuhan University, Wuhan, Hubei 430072, People's Republic of China

E-mail address: fwan@amss.ac.cn